

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/602,031	06/23/2003	Peter Norton	P-429	9547		
7590 07/06/2004		EXAMINER				
Peter Norton			BEAULIEU	BEAULIEU, YONEL		
Post Office Box 62 Northville, MI 48167			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			3661			
			DATE MAILED: 07/06/2004	4		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				1				
			oplication No.	Applicant(s)	$\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{O}}$			
Office Action Summary		10	0/602,031	NORTON, PETER	1			
		Ex	aminer	Art Unit				
			nel Beaulieu	3661				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	nication appears	on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addre	ess			
THE N - Exten after: - If the - If NO - Failur Any n	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN nsions of time may be available under the provision. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this com period for reply specified above is less than thirty (c) period for reply is specified above, the maximum s re to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NICATION. ns of 37 CFR 1.136(a). nmunication. (30) days, a reply within statutory period will app ly will, by statute, cause	In no event, however, may a reply be timent in the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this comn ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	munication.			
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <u>23 <i>June 1</i></u>	<u>2004</u> .					
		2b)⊠ This action						
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-44 is/are pending in the state (4a) Of the above claim(s) is/a Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-44 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restrict	are withdrawn fro						
Application	on Papers							
9) 🗆 🧵	The specification is objected to by th	ne Examiner.						
10) 🔲 🗆	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any obje			• •				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
71)	The oath or declaration is objected to	o by the Examin	ner. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-	-152.			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
Attachment	(s)							
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary (
3) 🔲 Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or No(s)/Mail Date		Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	ate atent Application (PTO-15	52)			

Application/Control Number: 10/602,031

Art Unit: 3661

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1 – 44 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1 - 27 of

Art Unit: 3661

U.S. Patent No. 6,584,387 B1. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because claims 1 and 2, 24, 31, and 38 of this instant application are addressed with regard to claims 1, 23, 1 and 27, and 23 and 27, respectively, of the Patent; while the improvement in claim 1 omits the seat comprising a seat back and a seat cushion, that is addressed in claim 2 and would have been obvious regardless of the omission to the skilled artisan at the time of the invention that vehicle seat commonly include a seat back and a seat cushion and that the improvement provides the same end result of protecting an occupant of the vehicle; with regard to claims 24 and 31 in the Application, it is clear from claims 1, 23 and 27 data is transmitted from the microprocessor; obviously, the microprocessor (receives) is responsive to the transmitted signal (data) as claimed; claim 38 in the Application is addressed considering claims 23 and 25 of the Patent and it would obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to recognize that in order for the occupant of the vehicle to be protected, the protection means must be controlled by a decision making means (as established in the patent preamble).

Claims 3-23, 25-30, 32-37, and 39-44 in the Application are addressed with respect to dependent claims 3-22 and 24-26 in the Patent and the above obviousness statement still applies.

Art Unit: 3661

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. **5865463** teaches an airbag deployment controller.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Yonel Beaulieu whose telephone number is (703) 305-4072. The examiner can normally be reached on M-R, from 0900-1600.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas BLACK can be reached on (703) 305-8233. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3661

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Y. BEAULIEU SERVINER AU 3601